

## **PUBLICATIONS ETHICS CODE IN JOURNAL “SCIENCE AND TRANSPORT PROGRESS. BULLETIN OF DNIPROPETROVSK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF RAILWAY TRANSPORT”**

Within the framework of editorial policy scientific journal “Science and Transport Progress. Bulletin...” consecutively adheres to publishing standards of publication COPE (Code of Conduct), approved by COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics).

Journal publications ethics code – is a set of rules on which relationships of authors, editors, reviewers, and editorial staff are built in the process of manuscript submission, review and articles publication. Implementation of ethical standards and rules by all participants of process of scientific paper work publication promotes right of the author assurance on intellectual property, improves the quality of publications in eyes of the professional community and exclusion of unlawful use of copyright materials on behalf of individuals.

### **Editor in chief duties**

Editor-in-chief of scientific journal “Science and Transport Progress. Bulletin...” performs general management of publication and he is responsible for making decision about publication of submitted manuscripts, according to reliability of data and scientific importance of work.

Articles are accepted in print when they are value from the scientific point of view and solve urgent theoretical and practical problems, regardless of gender, race, sexual orientation, ethnic origin, religion, nationality or political views of authors. Submitted manuscripts have to correspond the “Author’s instructions for journal articles “Science and Transport Progress. Bulletin...”.

Editor-in-chief (in accordance with recommendations of executive editor of column) makes decision on some manuscripts of outstanding scientists and specially invited authors for article writing could be exempt from standard procedure of review.

Editor-in-chief and editorial board rule out interference of business interests in intellectual and ethical standards. All sources of financial support for any research project should be disclosed and indicated in manuscripts.

Editor-in-chief in cooperation with publishing group are studying claims of authors relating to reviewed manuscripts or published articles and provide written response to the authors.

### **Reviewers duties**

All articles, received by editorial board, are reviewing, except of reviews and information messages.

Each article passes 3 levels of review (scientific expertise): open internal and external, and “blind”. Internal (open) review realizes by executive editor (an editorial board member) of a journal section. External review (open) realizes by reviewer who knows the author (the author also knows reviewer). Bilateral “blind” review – is

review which is confidential in accordance with principals of double-blind review (the author and reviewer do not know each other).

Reviewer adheres to certain principles observing the scientific expertise ethics. At the same time, on each of review levels, realizing the scientific expertise of the author manuscript, reviewer gives an objective substantiated assessment of research results, except personal criticism of the author. Recommendations provided by reviewer to the author, suggest a comprehensive analysis of an article advantages and disadvantages, and aim only to improving the quality of the author's creative work.

Reviewer, taking into account confidential of submitted manuscripts, does not admit discussions of work with other people except for authorized persons by editor-in-chief.

Reviewer refuses to conduct scientific expertise of manuscript if there is interest conflict with any of authors.

### **Authors duties**

Articles author(s), adhering to editorial ethics, ensures that the submitted manuscript is original work. If authors have used the work and / or text fragments of other authors' works, thereat authors provide relevant references to published work. Excessive borrowings and plagiarisms in any form (idea, texts, etc.) are unethical and unacceptable, including unregistered quotations, paraphrasing or assignment of rights to the results of other people researches.

Author(s) of submitted manuscripts realize that they are bear primary responsibility for novelty and validity of scientific research results. This position suggests:

- Assingation of reliable research results. Knowingly erroneous or falsified approvals are unacceptable.
- Contribution admission of all persons, who has influenced on the course of research. It includes submitted work links in articles that had importance for researches.
- Inadmissibility of person indication, who did not participate in research.
- Articles are not accepted if they were submitted for publication (or already have been published) in other periodicals.
- Edition notification by the author (within the shortest possible time) about detected significant mistakes or inaccuracies in an article on the stage of its review or after its publification.